

LUKAS, MCGOWAN, NACE & GUTIERREZ

CHARTERED

1111 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.

SUITE 1200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

(202) 857-3500

RUSSELL D. LUKAS  
GERALD S. MCGOWAN  
DAVID L. NACE  
THOMAS GUTIERREZ  
ELIZABETH R. SACHS  
GEORGE L. LYON, JR.  
PAMELA L. GIST  
DAVID A. LAFURIA  
JOHN J. MCAVOY  
TERRY J. ROMINE  
MARCI E. GREENSTEIN+  
MARJORIE GILLER SPIVAK  
J. JUSTIN McCLURE+  
MARILYN I. SUCHECKI  
PAMELA GAARY HOLRAN

+ NOT ADMITTED IN D.C.

April 1, 1996

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL  
EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED  
APR - 1 1996

CONSULTING ENGINEERS  
THOMAS G. ADCOCK, P.E.  
MEHRAN NAZARI  
ALI KUZEHKANANI  
SHAHRAM HOJATI, D.SC.  
LEROY A. ADAM  
LEILA REZANAVAZ  
FARID SEYEDVOSOGHI

OF COUNSEL  
J.K. HAGE III+

TELECOPIER  
(202) 842-4485

Email: [lmng@fccilaw.com](mailto:lmng@fccilaw.com)  
<http://www.fccilaw.com/lmng>

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL  
(202) 828-9470

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Communication  
WT Docket No. 96-18  
PP Docket No. 93-253

Dear Mr. Caton:

On behalf of Mobile Telecommunication Technologies Corporation ("Mtel"), this notice is submitted in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(2) of the Commission's rules, with the original and one copy being submitted to the Commission's Secretary.

On March 21, 1996, on behalf of Mtel, the undersigned met with Commissioner Ness's legal advisor on auctions, and made a permissible oral ex parte presentation concerning the above dockets.

At this meeting, the issues set forth in the attached letter were discussed. No additional arguments or issues were presented.

Very truly yours,

  
Thomas Gutierrez

TG/bad  
Attachment

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE 

LUKAS, MCGOWAN, NACE & GUTIERREZ

CHARTERED

1111 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W.

SUITE 1200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

(202) 857-3500

RUSSELL D. LUKAS  
GERALD S. MCGOWAN  
DAVID L. NACE  
THOMAS GUTIERREZ  
ELIZABETH R. SACHS  
GEORGE L. LYON, JR.  
PAMELA L. GIST  
DAVID A. LAFURIA  
JOHN J. MCAVOY  
TERRY J. ROMINE  
MARCI E. GREENSTEIN<sup>+</sup>  
MARJORIE GILLER SPIVAK  
J. JUSTIN MCCLURE<sup>+</sup>  
MARILYN I. SUCHECKI  
PAMELA GAARY HOLRAN

<sup>+</sup> NOT ADMITTED IN D.C.

April 1, 1996

RECEIVED  
APR - 12  
CONSULTING ENGINEERS  
THOMAS G. ADCOCK, P.E.  
MEHRAN NAZARI  
ALI KUZEHKANANI  
SHAHRAM HOJATI, D.SC.  
LEROY A. ADAM  
LEILA REZANAVAZ  
FARID SEYEDVOSOGHI

OF COUNSEL  
J.K. HAGE III<sup>+</sup>

TELECOPIER  
(202) 842-4485

Email: [lmng@fcclaw.com](mailto:lmng@fcclaw.com)  
<http://www.fcclaw.com/lmng>

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL

(202) 828-9479

**VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Chairman Reed E. Hundt  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 96-18  
PP Docket No. 93-253

Dear Chairman Hundt:

On behalf of Mobile Telecommunications Technologies Corporation ("Mtel"), we strongly urge the Commission to favorably consider implementing its proposal to designate 931.4375 MHz as a nationwide channel. In its recent Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the referenced dockets, the Commission noted that Mtel occupies this channel on virtually a nationwide basis and uses it to augment its paging network on its nationwide channel 931.9375 MHz. The Commission sought comment on whether to designate 931.4375 MHz on a nationwide basis and whether it should be excluded from the Commission's geographic licensing proposal.


For good and valid reasons the Commission has noted that the nationwide use of this frequency is a special exception and one that should not be a part of geographic licensing. Obviously, it follows that if it should be considered nationwide for geographic licensing then it should be considered nationwide for the purposes of the interim licensing proposals and exempt from the interim application processing freeze. In both the Comments and Reply Comments on the Commission's interim licensing proposals and geographic licensing proposals, Mtel provided details on why it agreed with the Commission's proposed treatment of 931.4375 MHz.

Chief among these details is the fact that Mtel is the only Commission licensee operating on 931.4375 MHz. Secondly, the

Commission has noted Mtel's unique use of this frequency twice in Commission decisions which accorded special operating provisions to Mtel for its nationwide use of 931.4375. In Mobile Telecommunication Technologies Corp., 6 FCC Rcd 1938, recon. 7 FCC Rcd 4061 (1992), the Commission preempted state entry, technical and rate regulation of Mtel's integration of its paging service on 931.4375 MHz into its nationwide paging network and in In re Amendment of Sections 22.505 and 22.506 of the Commission's Rules, 8 FCC Rcd 2796 (1993), the Commission allowed Mtel to operate this frequency at a higher ERP.

Good cause exists for the designation of 931.4375 MHz on a nationwide basis and Mtel supports the Commission's proposals in that regard. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Very truly yours,

  
Thomas Gutierrez  
J. Justin McClure

cc: Jackie Chorney, Esq.  
Rosalind Allen, Esq.  
David Furth, Esq.  
WT Docket No. 96-18 File  
PP Docket No. 93-253 File